

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

) MDL No. 1917

) Case No. C-07-5944-SC

This Order Relates To:

) ORDER REQUESTING

INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

) SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

Sharp Electronics Corp. v. Hitachi
Ltd., No. C-13-1173;

Sharp Electronics Corp. v.
Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-02776;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, No. 13-
cv-05261;

Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-
cv-05502;

Best Buy Co., Inc. v. Hitachi
Ltd., No. 11-cv-05513;

Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA,
No. 13-cv-05686;

Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture
Tubes, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05514

ViewSonic Corp. v. Chunghwa
Picture Tubes, Ltd., No. 14-cv-
02510;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart
Corp. v. Technicolor SA, No. 3:13-
cv-05262;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart
Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes
Ltd., No. 13-cv-05686;

1 Now before the Court are motions related to the structuring
2 the trial of these matters. Simplifying somewhat, Indirect
3 Purchaser Plaintiffs ("IPPs") and Direct Action Plaintiffs ("DAPs")
4 whose cases were filed in the Northern District of California
5 jointly move for consolidation of the DAP cases for an initial
6 trial followed by a separate IPP trial. ECF Nos. 2897 ("IPP/DAP
7 Mot."); 2903 ("Best Buy Joinder"). Defendants oppose this
8 proposal, instead cross-moving for the consolidation of all cases
9 for a single joint trial. ECF No. 2914 ("Defs. Cross-Mot.").

10 The Court must weigh several considerations in assessing these
11 motions including, among other things, the risk of jury confusion.
12 The Court's analysis of that risk would be aided by the submission
13 of proposed special verdict forms. Accordingly, IPPs/DAPs and
14 Defendants are hereby ORDERED to file proposed special verdict
15 forms for use in assessing their proposed trial plans within
16 fourteen (14) days. The parties shall not be bound to advance the
17 same verdict form in any pretrial filings; instead the proposed
18 forms will only be used by the Court in assessing the instant
19 motions. If necessary, each side may file a memorandum of no more
20 than five (5) pages confined to an explanation of its individual
21 proposed special verdict form.

22
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
25 Dated: November 3, 2014


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE